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## Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) \& Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

$\left[\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{N}^{2}\right)\right]$ : for num. computations in digital signal processing (incl fast convolution, spectrum analysis)

- N discrete time series signals $\rightarrow$ (into) N discrete frequency components (amplitude + phase)
- FFT [O(NlogN)]: Fast algorithms for DFT -- widely used num. Algorithm -- plays vital role in many scientific and engineering applications (image processing, speech recog., data analysis, large scale simulations
- Maj. time in large comp. apps
- Cooley + Tukey Algorithm:
i. Symmetry of DFT: $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=$
ii. Divide DFT alg. into odd + even

- $\rightarrow$ halved the computations to be $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{M})$ where M is half of $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{N})$
i. Keep doing this recursively $\rightarrow$ halves computation cost every time $\rightarrow \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NlogN})$
- To keep improving performance/time -- implement it on GPU


## Implementing 1D, 2D, \& 3D FFT

- ID FFT of $x$ :
a. $\quad x=1 D$ array, $B(4 \times N / 4)$ matrices or $1(4 \times N / 4 \times B)$ tensor $(B=\#$ of batches $)$
b. Find DFT of each of those matrices
c. Multiply by twiddle factor $\left(W=e^{-i 2} \mathrm{kn} / \mathrm{N}\right)$
- 2D FFT:
a. $\mathrm{x}=(\mathrm{m} \times \mathrm{n} \times$ batch $)$
b. Reshape x to be 1 D array [ $\mathrm{m} * \mathrm{n}$ * batch, 1, 1]
c. Call 1D FFT on it
d. Transpose \& do 1D FFT in other direction
- 3D (breakdown shown in pic):
a. Take 1D FFT in each direction OR

b. Take 2D FFT in 2 directions \& 1D in last dir.
- MATLAB + CUDA
a. Currently use CUBLAS/CUTLASS and Radix-4


## Mixed Precision \& Tensor Cores

- Tensor: "a mathematical object analogous to but more general than a vector, represented by an array of components that are functions of the coordinates of a space" -- large dense matrix
- NVIDIA Volta microarchitecture ft. specialized computing units, Tensor Cores
- tensore core support $\rightarrow$ mixed precision -- matrix multiplication operations done w/ halfprecision input data (FP16)-- the rest FFT done on single precision data (FP32)
- FP16 arithmetic enables Volta Tensor Cores which offer 125 TFlops of computational throughput on generalized matrix-matrix multiplications (GEMMs) and convolutions, an 8X increase over FP32
- Matrix entries multiplied in neural networks are small w/ respect to value of prev. Iter. $\rightarrow$ can use half precision, result is still small in val. $\rightarrow$ result accumulated to other much larger val., in single precision to avoid precision loss
- Deep neural network training = tolerant to precision loss up to certain degree

Inefficiency with Transform -- volta_sgemm_fp16_128x64_nn
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The FFT (radix-n1) in matrix form

$$
X(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} x(n) e^{-\left(i \frac{2 \pi n k}{N}\right)}
$$

Reshape \&
Transpose


N2-Point DFTs

The input vector of size $N$ is reshaped into an N1 * N2 matrix and transposed.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y=F_{N} X= & \left(W_{N} \times F_{n 2} \cdot \operatorname{reshape}(X, n 1, n 2)^{T}\right) F_{n 1} \\
& \text { where }\left(W_{N}\right)_{k, l}=e^{-\frac{2 \pi i k l}{N}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We use $\mathrm{n} 1=4$ since $\mathrm{F} 4=[1$ error.
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## The algorithm

```
//Batched 1d FFT of length N
Radix_4_FFT_recursion(X, N, Batch):
    If N=4 then
        Return F4 * X
                            (batched gemm)
    // See X as a (4 by N/4 by Batch) array
    permute(X,[2,1,3])
    //X as a (N/4 by 4 by Batch) array
    Y <-Radix_4_FFT_recursion(X, N/4, Batch*4)
    Multiply elementwise Y with W_N
    Return Y * F4
        (batched gemm)
End
```

Splitting is done before gemm
Combining is done after gemm
$\mathrm{x}(32)=\mathrm{s} 1(32)$ * x _hi(16) $+\mathrm{s} 2(32)$ * $\mathrm{x}_{-} \mathrm{lo}(16)$,
Gemm is done to $\mathrm{x}_{-} \mathrm{hi}, \mathrm{x}_{-}$lo


## CUTLASS (CUDA Templates for Linear Algebra Subroutines)

The most expensive step in the recursion: the second batched gemm
Result1 = X * F4_re; Result2 = X * F4_im
where
F4_re, F4_im: 4 by 4, fp16
X=[X_re_hi, X_re_lo, X_im_hi, X_im_lo]: m by 4 by Batch*4, fp16
Result1, Result2: m by 4 by Batch*4, fp32
For batch size $=\mathrm{B}$, length $=\mathrm{N}$ input, will do gemms for:
$\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N}, \quad$ Batch $=\mathrm{B}$
$\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N} / 4, \quad$ Batch $=4 \mathrm{~B}$
$\mathrm{m}=4, \quad$ Batch $=\mathrm{NB} / 4$
cuBlas is not optimized for slender matrix multiplication (volta_sgemm_fp16_128x64_nn)

## CUTLASS vs cuBlas

## m by $4^{*} 4$ by 4 matrix multiplication

| $m$ | Batch size | cuBlas(ms) | cutlass(ms) | Mean error |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 64 | 1048576 | 40.7779 | 13.4457 | $1.23754 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 256 | 65536 | 5.10469 | 3.07621 | $1.27887 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 256 | 262144 | 20.4031 | 12.2688 | $1.24481 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 1024 | 16384 | 5.07802 | 3.00108 | $1.23879 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 1024 | 65536 | 20.2993 | 11.9628 | $1.24625 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 4096 | 4096 | 5.08486 | 3.00046 | $1.26754 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 4096 | 16384 | 20.2965 | 11.882 | $1.22616 \mathrm{e}-12$ |
| 16384 | 4096 | 44.524 | 11.8838 | $1.23812 \mathrm{e}-12$ |

## In the Near Future: Radix-2 vs. Radix-4

- Radix-2 algorithms: $2^{\mathrm{v}}$ data points
a. decimation-in-time (DIT): Simplest + most common form of Cooley-Tukey alg
i. DFTs of even- \& odd-indexed inputs, repeat recursively $(\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{NlogN}))$
b. Decimation-in-frequency (DIF): (O(NlogN)) -- divide + conquer
i. split DFT into 2 summations $[(0 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N} / 2)+(\mathrm{N} / 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{~N})]$
ii. Split those split summations into even \& odd
iii. Repeat recursively
- Currently using radix-4 ( $4^{\mathrm{v}}$ data pts)
- Why radix-2?
a. DFT of identity [2,2] matrix = real matrix (not complex) \& exactly representable in FP16
b. Use tensor cores to implement it
c. ALTHOUGH radix-4 $=$ more efficient when $\mathrm{N}=2^{\mathrm{v}}$
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